

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,277	03/30/2006	. Bengt-Ame Thorstensson	PST2190P1US	9756	
27624	7590 10/06.	2006	EXAM	EXAMINER	
AKZO NO		BRUNSMAN, DAVID M			
	TUAL PROPERTY TONE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	RRY, NY 10522-3	108	1755		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	!
Office Action Commons		10/567,277	THORSTENSSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David M. Brunsman	1755	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 10-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2,3 and 6-9 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct that any objection to the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration of the oath or decl	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  fer.  cepted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20060228.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/567,277

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 11 recite "n is a number from 0-2" but, "n" does not appear in the formula. In claim 4, the phrase "remaining" groups is indefinite as it fails to designate which groups are designated that the others would be "remaining". The term "types" in claim 5 is indefinite in that it is unclear how different a compound must be to be a different "type". With respect to claim 10, a claim may not depend from a later claim. Claim 14 fails to end in a period.

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 11. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim 13 differs only the that the compound of claim 11 is called an emulsifier.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3518101.

The examples of the patent teach a bituminous emulsion formed using 0.75% of a salt of orthophosphoric acid and "Ethoduomeen T-12" a diamine falling within the scope of the instant claims wherein "R" is the alkyl residue of tallow fatty acid. The similar composition described would be expected to exhibit a similar pH (1-5).

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the improvements obtained when a system of the claimed emulsifier and a hydraulic cement demulsifier are used in forming an oil in water bituminous emulsion.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2, 3 and 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, Th, F, Sa; 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

**DMB**